

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,868	SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2612	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final Action Mailed

(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Bardia Ghaznavi, Docket Clerk.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2005

Time: 4:24pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



NGOC-YEN VU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: For the purpose of checking the status of this application, the Examiner tried to contact the attorney of record. However, the Examiner was informed that the attorney of record is no longer an employee at that particular firm. Instead, the Examiner spoke to the docket clerk regarding this case. As a result, this case is considered abandoned. .